						OMB App	oroval #: 270	0-0042		
AMENDM	ENT OF SOLICITATION	N/MODIFICATIO	N OF CON	TRAC	Т		1. CONTRAC 12		1	OF PAGES 4
	NT/MODIFICATION NO. 34	3. EFFECTIVE DATE		4. F	REQUISITION/PUR See p	age 1a		5. PROJ	ECT NO. (/	fapplicable)
6. ISSUED B	Y CODE	PS24	1-1	7. A	ADMINISTERED BY	(If other tha	n Item 6)		CODE	PS24-I
George Nation	ement Office e C. Marshall Space Fli al Aeronautics and Spa	ce Administration		1	MSFC Adm: 256-544-074 Anna.stovalle AUTOMATED INV	1 @nasa.go	οv	TION: (2	56) 544-556	66
Marsha 8. NAME AND	all Space Flight Center,  ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR	(No., street, county, State	e, and Zip Code	<u> </u>	7.01011111221111	(x)	9A. AMENI			
Hernar 16055	ndez Engineering, Inc. Space Center Bouleva on TX 77062		÷	,		X	9B. DATED	FICATION	OF NO.	
							10B. DATE		EM 13)	
CODE	ļ	CILITY CODE 2Y3		) AMEN	IDMENTS OF	E SOLICI	10/0	1/00		
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS  The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, is not extended.  Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:  (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE										
you desire to damendment, a	or telegram which includes a refu FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFEE hange an offer already submitted nd is received prior to the openin TING AND APPROPRIATION DA	RS PRIOR TO THE HOUF I, such change may be ma g hour and date specified.	R AND DATE S ade by telegram	PECIFIED	MAY RESULT IN F	REJECTION	OF YOUR OFF	ER. If by v	rirtue of this	amendment
See pa	ige 1a									
	IT MOD	EM APPLIES ON DIFIES THE CONT	TRACT/OR	DER N	O. AS DESCI	RIBED IN	ITEM 14.			
(x)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS IS NO. IN ITEM 10A.	SSUED PURSUANT TO:	(Specify autho	ority) THE	CHANGES SET FO	ORTH IN ITEM	// 14 ARE MAD	E IN THE (	CONTRACT	ORDER
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED C date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM	14.				IVE CHANGE	ES (such as cha	anges in pa	ying office,	appropriation
X	FAR 52.243-2 and Mu D. OTHER (Specify type of mod	tual Agreement Be	etween Bot	th Partie	es es			<u>-</u>		
E. IMPORT	ANT: Contractor is not,		nis document	and retu	rn <u>3</u> copi	es to the is	suing office.			
			Ęarn		Earned			-		
	Negotiated	Potential	Metric E	Eval.	Performan	ce	Contra	ct	Total	Sum
	Est. Cost	Award Fee	Fee	2	Eval. Fee		<u>Value</u>		Allo	<u>ted</u>
Previous	\$23,268,343.00	\$543,486.00	\$294,4		\$509,939.0		\$24,616,24		\$21,883	•
This Mod	<u>176,626.00</u>	10,810.00		0.00	0.0		187,43			,000.00
New Total	\$23,444,969.00	\$554,296.00	\$294,4	76.00	\$509,939.0	00	\$24,803,6	80.00	\$23,713	,312.00
Except as prov	ge 2 for description.		renced in Item S	9A or 10A,	as heretofore chan	ged, remains	unchanged and	in full force	e and effect.	·
IDA. NAME A	ND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or	pninij		Moi	nica Heidelbe htracting Offic	rg		гуре огрг	urcj	
15B. CONTRA	ACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE S	IGNED	16B. UNI	ITED STATES OF	SIGNED IEIDELBI			16C. DATE	SIGNED
(Signa	ture of person authorized to sign			BY	(Signature of	Contracting	Officer)		<b>DOX</b>	2 2003

NSN 7540-01-152-8070 PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE 30-105

STANDARD FORM 30 (Rev. 10-83) Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

### 4200014148

WBS element	Fund	Cost ctr	Amount
62-323-29-13	HSF542002D	62QS40	\$ 30,000.00
62-949-10-QS	HSF542003D	62RS50	\$ 300,000.00
62-377-10-10-11	HSF542003D	62MP71	\$1,000,000.00
62-721-10-01	SAT292003D	62UP20	\$ 465,000.00
62-721-10-1B	SAT292003D	62UP20	\$ <u>35,000.00</u>
Total			\$1.830.000.00

The purpose of this modification is to provide an equitable adjustment for additional effort within the Scope of the Statement of Work, provide an increment of funds pursuant to the "Limitation of Funds" clause and the contractor's letter dated **June 12, 2003,** and update Attachment J-4B <u>METRICS EVALUATION PLAN</u>. The foregoing action is further implemented by the following changes. All changes are marked in **BOLD**.

1. Clause B.2 <u>CONTRACT COST AND FEES</u> paragraphs (b) and (c) are deleted in their entirety and the following is substituted in lieu thereof:

### B.2 CONTRACT COST AND FEES

"(b) A summary of the estimated cost and fees for the performance of work under this contract is as follows:

	Prev	vious Amount	Adju	sted this Mod		New Total
Estimated Cost	\$23	3,268,343.00	\$	176,626.00	\$2	3,444,969.00
Potential Award Fee(s)	\$	543,486.00	\$	10,810.00	\$	554,296.00
Potential Performance Evaluation Fee (60%)	\$	326,092.00	\$	6,486.00	\$	332,578.00
Potential Metrics Evaluation Fee 40%	\$	217,394.00	\$	4,324.00	\$	221,718.00
Earned Performance Evaluation Fee	\$	509,939.00	\$	0.00	\$	509,939.00
Earned Metric Evaluation Fee	_\$	294,476,00	\$_	0.00	\$	294,476.00
Total	\$2	4,616,244.00	\$	187,436.00	\$2	4,803,680.00

(c) Estimated cost and fees applicable to each option Period are set forth below:

Option No.	Period Covered	Estimated Cost	Potential Performance <u>Evaluation Fee</u>	Potential Metrics Evaluation Fee	Total Option <u>Value</u>
1	10/01/0109/30/02	\$7,863,911.00	\$280,979.00 *	\$134,761.00*	\$8,279,651.00
2	10/01/0209/30/03	\$9,056,730.00	\$332,578.00	\$221,718.00	\$9,611,026.00
3	10/01/0309/30/04	\$8,206,252.00	\$301,347.00	\$200,897.00	\$8,708,496.00
4	10/01/0409/30/05	\$8,300,848.00	\$304,818.00	\$203,212.00	\$8,808,878.00
			Repres	ents Fee Actually I	Earned .

2. Clause B.3 AWARD FEE FOR SERVICE CONTRACTS (1852.216-76) (MAR 1998) paragraph (e) is deleted in its entirety and the following is substituted in lieu thereof:

### B.3 AWARD FEE FOR SERVICE CONTRACTS (1852.216-76) (MAR 1998)

"(e) The amount of award fee which can be awarded in each evaluation period is limited to the amounts set forth in the following tables. Award fee which is not earned in an evaluation period cannot be reallocated to future evaluation periods.

Summary of Potential and Earned Award Fees

1. Summary of Potential and Earned Performance Evaluation Fees:

Evaluation Period	Original Amount <u>Available</u>	erformance I. Fee Earned	Mod No.
10/01/00 - 03/31/01	\$ 117,545.00	\$ 111,079.00	Mod 07
04/01/01 - 09/30/01	\$ 122,030.00	\$ 117,881.00	Mod 15
10/01/01 - 09/30/02	\$ 288,776.00	\$ 280,979.00	Mod 28
10/01/02 - 09/30/03	\$ 332,578.00		
10/01/03 - 09/30/04	\$ 301,347.00		
10/01/04 - 09/30/05	\$ 304,818.00		
Total	\$1,467,094.00	\$ 509,939.00	

### 2. Summary of Potential and Earned Metric Evaluation Fee

Evaluation Period	Amount Available	<u>Metrics</u> Eval. Fee Earned	Mod No.
10/01/00 - 03/31/01	\$ 78,362.00	\$ 78,362.00	Mod 07
04/01/01 - 09/30/01	\$ 81,353.00	\$ 81,353.00	Mod 15
10/01/01 - 09/30/02	\$ 192,516.00	\$ 134,761.00	Mod 28
10/01/02 - 09/30/03	\$ 221,718.00		
10/01/03 - 09/30/04	\$ 200,897.00		
10/01/04 - 09/30/05	\$ 203,212.00		
Total	\$ 978,058.00	\$ 294,476.00 "	t

- 3. Clause B.5 <u>CONTRACT FUNDING (1852.232-81) (JUN 1990)</u> is hereby deleted in its entirety and the following is substituted in lieu thereof:
  - "B.5 CONTRACT FUNDING (1852.232-81) (JUN 1990)
    - (a) For the purposes of payment of cost, exclusive of fee, in accordance with the Limitation of Funds clause, the total amount allotted by the Government to this contract is §22,483,937. This allotment is for Safety and Mission Assurance Mission Services and covers the following estimated period of performance:

      October 1, 2000, through September 16, 2003.
- (b) An additional amount of \$1,229,375 is obligated under this contract for payment of fee.
  - (c) Recapitulation of funding is as follows:

	<u>Previous</u>	This Award	<u>Total</u>
Estimated Cost	\$20,716,573.00	\$1,767,364.00	\$22,483,937.00
Provisional Award Fee	\$ 362,324.00	\$ 62,636.00	\$ 424,960.00
Earned Award Fee	\$ 804,415.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 804,415.00
Performance Eval. Fee	\$ 509,939.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 509,939.00
Metrics Eval. Fee	\$ 294,476.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 294,476.00
Total Sum Allotted	\$21,883,312.00	\$1,830,000.00	\$23,713,312.00

- 4. Attachment J-4 Section B, METRICS EVALUATION PLAN (MEP), is deleted in its entirety and the following Attachment J-4 Section B, METRICS EVALUATION PLAN (MEP) is substituted in lieu thereof to amend the Negotiated Composite Direct Labor Rate (CDLR).
- 5. In consideration of the modification(s) agreed to herein as complete equitable adjustment for the Contractor's proposal(s) for adjustment, the Contractor hereby releases the Government from any and all liability under this contract for further equitable adjustments attributable to such facts or circumstances giving rise to the proposal(s) for adjustment.

Contract Change Identification Contractor Proposal No's.

Modification 34 029-042503-TC

### "ATTACHMENT J-4

### SECTION B

### METRICS EVALUATION PLAN (MEP)

### MSFC SAFETY AND MISSION ASSURANCE (S&MA) MISSION SERVICES

CONTRACT NAS8-00179

### METRICS EVALUATION PLAN (MEP)

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

A.	INTRODUCTION	<u>Paqe</u>
	1. Purpose 2. Summary 3. Fee Evaluation	J-4B-3 J-4B-3 J-4B-3
в.	EVALUATION CRITERIA DEFINITION	J-4B-4
	<ol> <li>Schedule Performance</li> <li>Cost Performance</li> <li>Safety Lost Time Incident (LTI) Performance</li> </ol>	J-4B-4 J-4B-8 J-4B-10
c.	CONTRACTOR'S REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	J-4B-11

### A. INTRODUCTION

### 1. Purpose

This Plan provides guidelines and methodology for evaluating the performance of the S&MA Mission Services Contractor under Contract NAS8-00179, for the objective, performance-based criteria within the Schedule, Cost, and Safety Lost Time Incident (LTI) categories.

### 2. Summary

### a. Description of Contract

The Contractor shall provide the necessary management, personnel, equipment and supplies (not otherwise provided by the Government) to perform Safety and Mission Assurance (S&MA) mission services to accomplish the following functions:

- (1) Perform surveillance of assigned MSFC inhouse and contracted design, manufacturing and testing activities, for both hardware and software, to assess compliance with NASA MSFC safety, reliability, maintainability and quality assurance policies, requirements and controls.
- (2) Assure that management assessment information is provided in a timely manner to the MSFC S&MA Office to support the decision-making process regarding open problems, hazards and risks pertaining to accomplishing MSFC's mission.
- and Management Information Center (MIC).
- (4) The Contractor shall identify opportunities for improving the efficiency of task execution, including the use of innovative techniques, and present them to S&MA.

### b. Scope of Contract

The Contractor's MSFC S&MA mission services are applicable to all assigned MSFC projects.

### Fee Evaluation

In accordance with Section B of the Contract, forty percent (40%) of the total potential contract fee is available for performance of the criteria in this section. With the exception of cost performance, which will be assessed annually, performance determinations under this section (Section B/Attachment J-4) will be made annually concurrent with the PEB evaluations of Attachment J-4, Section A. The contractor's performance under the criteria of this section will be determined

solely by the Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (COTR) and the Contracting Officer (CO). Therefore, determinations under this section are not subject to the Performance Evaluation Board (PEB) process. To ensure excellence in S&MA mission services, this section is subject to revision during the course of this contract. However, any necessary revisions to this section will be fully coordinated with the contractor prior to the implementation period.

In order for the contractor to receive any fee under the Schedule and Safety LTI Performance criteria provisions of this MEP section, the contractor must receive an adjectival rating of "Satisfactory" or above for the concurrent evaluation period under the PEB evaluation of Section A. In order for the contractor to receive any fee under the Cost Performance criterion provisions of this MEP section, the contractor's average score for Section A for the annual period of the assessment must be an overall adjectival rating of "Good" or above.

### B. EVALUATION CRITERIA DEFINITION

The evaluation criteria (i.e., Schedule Performance, Cost Performance, and Safety LTI Performance) specified in this section will provide the basis for determining the contractor's performance of the activities described herein and, as applicable, in the contract's Performance Work Statement (PWS). The following paragraphs define the evaluation criteria:

### 1. Schedule Performance

tasks under the technical direction provisions of the contract.
The contractor will receive assignments with specified completion dates or milestone requirements. Success in meeting deadlines for performing these PWS tasks will be evaluated. Responsiveness to schedule changes and timely preparation, distribution, and delivery of items required by contract will also be evaluated.

Of the potential fee available in this section, sixty percent (60%) is apportioned to the Schedule Performance criterion. A performance-based approach will be used to evaluate the contractor's schedule performance, based on the elements and weightings (total to 100 percent) outlined in the list below. Description of what constitutes successful performance for fee determinations in the individual schedule elements is provided following the below list.

Schedule Performance Elements

- 1. Submittal of Data Requirements (DRs) (25 Percent)
- 2.Personnel Certification (20 Percent)
- 3. Safety Compliance and Hazardous Operations Inspections (15 Percent)

- 4. Real-time ALERT Availability (10 Percent)
- 5. Audit Action Item Status (10 Percent)
- 6. Recurrence Control Action Request (RCAR) Status (10 Percent)
- 7. Safety and Environmental Inspections (10 Percent)

### a. Submittal of DRs (Reference PWS 2.0, 2.3, 5.5.9, & Attch J-2)

The objective of this schedule element is to emphasize the timely delivery of the following five (5) key data requirements:

DRD No.	<u>Title</u>
875MA-002	Financial Management Report (533M)
875MA-003	Progress Reports
875MA-007	Quarterly Open Problem List
875MA-008	Monthly Newly Opened/Closed Problem
	Summary
875SA-002	Mishap and Safety Statistics Reports

The initial submission and submission frequency for each of these DRs is specified in Attachment J-2. Of the schedule performance criteria, 25 percent of the total will be apportioned for the timely delivery of these DRs. Delivery of each DR has a equal value of 5 percent of the fee potential. The MSFC Office of Primary Responsibility (OPR) will record receipt of the DRs. For the mishap reporting required by DRD No. 875SA-002, the OPR will record receipt of the mishap reporting forms.

SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE (Element #1). Successful performance of this schedule element is defined as the receipt of the above data requirements as required during the semiannual evaluation period in accordance with the Attachment J-2 submission requirements. If every required submission of a DR during the evaluation period is received per the DRD requirements, the contractor will be entitled to the full 5 percent of the fee potential for that particular DR. The maximum allowable defect rate (MADR) for the delivery of these DRs is zero days. If the contractor fails, on one occurrence, to deliver a DR to ensure receipt in accordance with the DRD submissions requirements, the 5 percent fee potential for that DR will be forfeited.

### b. Personnel Certification (PWS 2.5 & DRD 875MA-009)

The objective of this schedule element is to emphasize the timely and proper certification and recertification of personnel engaged in training responsibilities, processes and potentially hazardous operations. Of the schedule performance criterion, 20 percent of the total will be apportioned for the timely certification/re-certification of

personnel. The S&MA Safety, Reliability and Quality Assurance (SR&QA) Department (QS10) maintains a certification database and will be responsible for issuance of certification cards. The contractor is responsible for providing evidence upon the completion of required training.

SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE (Element #2): Successful performance of this schedule element is defined as the timely completion of required training, and providing the supporting evidence to OS10, such that certifications do not expire or lapse for contractor personnel. If, during the evaluation period, the contractor maintains the timely certification and recertifications of personnel engaged in training responsibilities, processes, and potentially hazardous operations, the contractor will be entitled to the full 20 percent of the fee potential for this schedule element. The maximum allowable defect rate (MADR) for the timely certification of personnel is zero occurrences of certification lapses or expirations. If the contractor fails, on one occurrence, to maintain the timely and proper certification of personnel, the 20 percent fee potential for this element will be forfeited.

### c. <u>Safety Compliance and Hazardous Operations</u> <u>Inspections (PWS 4.1 and 4.2)</u>

The objective of this schedule element is to emphasize the timely safety compliance and hazardous operations inspections of MSFC facilities and of MSFC construction sites. Of the schedule performance criterion, 15 percent of the total will be apportioned for the timely safety compliance and hazardous operations inspections. The contractor is responsible for unveloping a comprehensive schedule of all MSFC facility inspections and submitting to the S&MA Safety, Reliability and Quality Assurance (SR&QA) Department (QS10) for approval. The QS10 approved comprehensive schedule will serve as the baseline requirement for evaluation of the contractor's performance of this schedule element.

SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE (Element #3): Successful performance of this schedule element is defined as the timely performance of the safety compliance and hazardous operations inspections of MSFC facilities and of MSFC construction sites. If, during the evaluation period, the contractor performs the required inspections per the QS10 approved schedule, the contractor will be entitled to the full 15 percent of the fee potential for this schedule element. The maximum allowable defect rate (MADR) for the timely performance of scheduled inspections is 10 days. If the contractor fails and is behind schedule by more than 10 days, the following deductions in fee potential will apply:

Contractor Behind Schedule < 10 days = No Potential Fee Reduction

Contractor Behind Schedule < 20 days = 5% Potential Fee Reduction

Contractor Behind Schedule < 30 days = 10% Potential Fee Reduction

Contractor Behind Schedule > 30 days = 15% Potential Fee Reduction

### d. Real-time ALERT Availability (PWS 5.4.2)

The objective of this schedule element is to emphasize the timely distribution of ALERTS, generated through GIDEP or received from other Agency sources, to MSFC actionees. Of the schedule performance criterion, 10 percent of the total will be apportioned for the timely distribution of ALERTS. The S&MA Safety, Reliability and Quality Assurance (SR&QA) Department (QS10) maintains and provides to the contractor a list of MSFC actionees for ALERTS. The contractor is responsible for entering ALERTS into the ALERT database and distribution of ALERTS to MSFC actionees for review and distribution. QS10 is responsible for monitoring the ALERT database to verify contractor's performance of this schedule element.

SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE (Element #4): Successful performance of this schedule element is defined as the timely database entry and distribution of ALERTS to MSFC actionees. If, during the evaluation period, the contractor enters and distributes all ALERTS to MSFC actionees within two working days of receipt, the contractor will be entitled to the full 10 percent of the fee potential for this schedule element. The maximum allowable defect rate (MADR) for the timely distribution of ALERTS is two working days. If the contractor fails, on one occurrence, to enter and/or distribute ALERTS to the MSFC - actionee list within the two working days, the 10 percent fee potential for this element will be forfeited.

### e. Audit Action Item Status (PWS 6.2.8)

The objective of this schedule element is to emphasize the timely maintenance of an action item status system for S&MA participation in audits of MSFC internal organizations, MSFC vendors and suppliers, NASA Engineering and Quality Audits (NEQA), and other Government agencies. Of the schedule performance criterion, 10 percent of the total will be apportioned for the timely maintenance of the audit action item status tracking system. The S&MA Safety, Reliability and Quality Assurance (SR&QA) Department (QS10) will monitor activity on the automated database to verify the contractor's performance of this schedule element. The contractor is responsible for maintaining status of all S&MA action items resulting from audits on the automated database.

SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE (Element #5): Successful performance of this schedule element is defined as the timely

all S&MA audit actions. If, the contractor updates the database at least monthly, the contractor will be encreased. The maximum arrowned potential for this schedule element. The maximum arrowned defect rate (MADR) for the timely maintenance of the database 30 days. If the contractor fails, on one occurrence, to an odays. If the audit actions database within a 30 day maintain/update the audit actions for this element will be the contractor will be entitled to the full 10 percent of potential for this schedule element of database the maintenance of orfeited.

### Status (RCAR) Recurrence Control Action Request 3 and MPG 1280.4)) (PWS 6.

Quality for monitoring is responsibl Action organization(s). The S&MA Safety, Reliability and Quality Assurance (SR&QA) Department (QS10) is responsible for monitine generation of RCARs to verify contractor's performance generation of RCARs. Hardware or software nonconformances, quality system deficiency notices, and quality comments may result in the generation of RCARs. The contractor is responsor generating the RCAR for notification to the responsible element is the schedule performance criterion, Control apportioned for the of this schedule generation of Recurrence The objective total will be ΟĘ timely percent of the total generation of RCARs. (RCARs). emphasize the

SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE (Element #6): Successful this schedule element is defined as the timely RCARs for notification to responsible organizations period; the contractor generates all required RCARS within five working days of receipt, the contractor will be entitled to the full 10 percent of the fee potential for this schedule element. The maximum allowable defect rate (MADR) for the timely (Element #6): Succerr inad as the timely The maximum allowable working days. It the concluded generation of RCARs is five working days. It the five element this for to generate an RC nt fee potential the 10 percent performance of generation of working days, be forfeited.

## 3 Safety and Environmental Inspections (PWS р .

emphasize the timely performance of safety and environmental inspections of employee worksites. Of the schedule performance criteria, 10 percent of the total will be apportioned for the timely performance of worksite inspections. The contractor is a copy of the documented results at least The contractor the results of, The objective of this schedule element is S&MA Safety, Reliability environmental worksite inspections at a rate of per month per onsite contractor supervisor. also responsible for providing a copy of the responsible for conducting, and recording inspections the of the worksite and

οĘ (QS10) upon completion Department (SR&QA) Quality Assurance the inspections.

10 timely performance Successful the contractor products at least one per month per fails, safety and environmental worksite inspections schedule element. thecontractor the 10 percent fee potential at #7): the contractor will be entitled mum allowable defect rate (MADR) for the timely orksite inspections is 30 days. If the contract occurrence, to perform worksite inspections of is defined as (Element SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE for this element If, during the evaluation period, worksite inspections at a rate of fee potential schedule per month per supervisor, element will be forfeited. this supervisor, theperformance of performance of of worksite performance οĘ

# 2. Cost rerformance

effectiveness in managing contract cost. The objective of the cost performance criterion is to emphasize effective management available to the addresses the contractor's contract cost. Of the potential fee thirty percent (30%) is apportioned to criterion control of contract cost. criterion. This this section, Performance

the contractor to earn any fee adverse Government this assessment, tion evidence submitted by had a cumulative and advers accordance with exceed Contract Changes affect on the actual cost incurred for which no equitable cannot The period. the period based upon in contractor Provision for will review and take into consideration d for the l the for performance criterion of mission changes that theactual cost incurred In order estimated cost contract Clause H.6 Special ţ٥ was provided NOTE: contract cost contractor adjustment total total for

burdened direct direct the and inter-company work costs (ODC) Cost performance is an annual assessment of contractor's actual composite direct labor rate incurred labor rate (fully burdened level) to the composite diabor rate (fully burdened) negotiated for the contract evaluation period. The composite direct labor rate is fully burdened when it includes all fringe, overhead, indirect, an allocations. Fully burdened costs for the purposes of this travel, or miscellaneous other direct ng table depicts the negotiated fully do not include any subcontract, contract period: composite labor rates following evaluation transfers,

Negotiated Composite	Direct Labor Rate	(CDLR)	(m(a)
Period			Base Year

Option Year 1	[]	
Option Year 2		
Option Year 3		
Option Year 4		

A performance-based metric will be used to score the contractor's achievement of cost performance criteria. The metric will be the composite actual fully burdened labor rate, in comparison to the composite fully burdened negotiated labor rate for the contract period.

SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE (Cost Criterion): Successful performance of the cost performance criterion is defined by the effective management of the actual incurred, fully burdened, direct labor cost in comparison to the negotiated, fully burdened, direct labor rate. If, during the evaluation period, the contractor's cost performance results in an actual incurred rate that is 95 percent or less in comparison to the fully burdened direct labor negotiated for the contract, the contractor will be entitled to the full 30 percent of the fee potential for this cost performance criterion. The maximum allowable defect rate (MADR) for the cost performance criterion is an actual incurred rate that is .95 when compared to the negotiated direct labor cost rate. If the contractor fails to control the actual incurred direct labor cost rate and it exceeds the negotiated direct labor cost rate, the full 30 percent fee potential for this criterion will be forfeited.

The table below relates cost performance to the potential fee deductions that will apply above the MADR of 0.95:

the least of the contract teach like a	the same of the same to the sa
Actual Incurred Rate (AIR) Divided By	Deduction in Potential Cost Performance Fee
Negotiated Rate for the Period	
	0%
< 0.95	U 6
If $\geq 0.95$ but $< 0.96$	10%
If $\geq 0.96$ but $< 0.97$	20%
If $\geq 0.97$ but < 0.98	30%
If $\geq 0.98$ but $< 0.99$	40%
If $\geq 0.99$ but $\leq 1.0$	50%
> 1.0	100%

Annual determinations against the cost performance criterion will occur at completion of the base period and, as applicable, each option period of the contract (i.e. periods 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10).

### 3. <u>Safety Lost Time Incident (LTI) Performance (PWS 2.3 and DRD 875SA-002)</u>

This criterion addresses the contractor's effectiveness in reducing the occurrences of lost time incidents. The objective of the Safety/LTI performance criterion is to emphasize workplace safety. Of the potential fee available in this section, ten percent (10%) is apportioned to the Safety/LTI Performance criterion.

Lost Time Incident (LTI) data as defined by OSHA will be used to measure the effectiveness of the contractor's safety program. OSHA defines a "lost time case" as a nonfatal traumatic injury that causes any loss of time from work beyond the day or shift it occurred; or a nonfatal nontraumatic illness/disease that causes disability at any time.

SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE (LTI Criterion):
Successful performance of the safety LTI criterion is defined as the absence of lost time cases. If, during the evaluation period, the contractor's safety LTI performance results in an actual incurred rate of zero lost time cases, the contractor will be entitled to the full 10 percent of the fee potential for this cost performance criterion. The maximum allowable defect rate (MADR) for the safety LTI performance criterion is zero actual occurrences. If the contractor incurs two or more LTI cases during the period, the 10 percent fee potential for this criterion will be forfeited.

The table below relates safety LTI performance to the potential fee deductions that will apply above the MADR of 0.0:

Number of LTI's	Deduction in Potential LTI Performance Fee
If LTI = 0	0%
If LTI = 1	50%
If LTI's ≥ 2	100%

If the Government determines that any lost time incident was caused by conditions completely outside the control or responsibility of the contractor, that incident will be considered a non-event for the purposes of assessing the contractor's LTI performance under this criterion.

NOTE: If the contractor fails to report LTI(s) in accordance with this contract and DRD 875SA-002, notwithstanding the actual number of LTI(s) in the period, all potential fee for this criterion will be forfeited. In addition, the contractor's failure to report LTI(s) will be considered a significant weakness in the Management Performance criterion of Section A.

### C. CONTRACTOR'S REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Contractor must submit a self assessment of performance under the criteria of this section (Section B Metrics Evaluation Plan) to the COTR on a Semiannual basis. DRD 875MA-003 provides the format requirements for submission of the quarterly report."